

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,472	02/05/2004	Kenichi Sakamoto	NIT-408	2953
	7590 03/03/2008 ager & Malur, P.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Suite 370		SCUDERI	SCUDERI, PHILIP S	
1800 Diagonal Alexandria, VA		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2153	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			$ m \sim$
•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/771,472	SAKAMOTO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	·	PHILIP S. SCUDERI	2153
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	anuary 2008.	
·		action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 3-5,8,9 and 13-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 3-5,8,9 and 13-15 are subject to restr	vn from consideration.	ement.
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u></u> a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication Noeceived in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

In the last office action the examiner failed to raise the issue of election/restriction. The examiner takes this opportunity to raise the issue.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. Embodiments 1-1 and 1-2 wherein, if an IP protocol version of a session control request from a first terminal is different from an IP protocol version usable by a second terminal, a notification is sent to the first terminal. See figures 3-6 and claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 13, and 14.
- II. Embodiment 1-3 wherein, if an IP protocol version of a session control request from a first terminal is different from an IP protocol version usable by a second terminal, an IP header of the request is <u>converted</u> to the IP protocol usable by the second terminal. See figures 7 and 8 and claims 5 and 15.

Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP 806.05(j).

The inventions as claimed have materially different modes of operation, function, or effect. Invention I notifies a first terminal if the IP protocol version of a session control request from the first terminal is different from an IP protocol version usable by a second terminal. Invention II converts a first terminal's request if the IP protocol version of a session control request from the first terminal is different from an IP protocol version usable by a second terminal.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,472

Art Unit: 2153

Additionally, there is nothing of record to show that these inventions are obvious variants.

Indeed, the examiner rejected each of these inventions using different prior art in the last office action.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Art Unit: 2153

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIP S. SCUDERI whose telephone number is (571)272-5865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Philip S. Scuderi/

GLENTON B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100